



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

		Application Number	10/826,153
		Filing Date	April 16, 2004
		First Named Inventor	Erik Scher
		Group Art Unit	1631
		Examiner Name	Russell Scott Negin
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	18	Attorney Docket Number	40-002001US

ENCLOSURES *(check all that apply)*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PTO-1449 Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cited References	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Continued Examination (RCE)
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Response	<input type="checkbox"/> Copy of PCT Search Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Corrected Filing receipt
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment and Request for Reconsideration	<input type="checkbox"/> Copy of EP Search Report	<input type="checkbox"/> Copy of Filing Receipt – marked up
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Application Data Entry Form
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Receipt Acknowledgement Postcard	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Statement	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		
Authorization to Charge Deposit Account Please charge Deposit Account No. 50-0893 for any additional fees associated with this paper or during the pendency of this application, including any extensions of time for consideration of the documents enclosed.		
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm or Individual name	Gary Baker, Reg. No. 41,595, Quine Intellectual Property Law Group, P.C.	
Signature		
Date	March 26, 2009	

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Sarah Jeromin		
Signature		Date	March 26, 2009

MAR 30 2009

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number

Effective on 12/08/2004.
Fee pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).
FEE TRANSMITTAL
For FY 2009
 Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 180.00

Complete if Known	
Application Number	10/826,153
Filing Date	April 16, 2004
First Named Inventor	Erik Scher
Examiner Name	Russell Scott Negin
Art Unit	1631
Attorney Docket No.	40-002001US

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)

Check Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify): **Deposit Account**
 Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: **50-0893** Deposit Account Name: **Quine Intellectual Property Law Group, P.C.**

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
 Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17 Credit any overpayments

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH AND EXAMINATION FEES

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	330	165	540	270	220	110	
Design	220	110	100	50	140	70	
Plant	220	110	330	165	170	85	
Reissue	330	165	540	270	650	325	
Provisional	220	110	0	0	0	0	

2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES

Fee Description	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)	52	26
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)	220	110
Multiple dependent claims	390	195
Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)
- 20 or HP =	X	=
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.		
Indep. Claims	Extra Claims	Fee (\$)
- 3 or HP =	X	=
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.		

3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$270 (\$135 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)
- 100 =	/ 50 =	(round up to a whole number) X	=	

4. OTHER FEE(S)

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): _____

Other: **Information Disclosure Statement** 180.00


Other: _____


Other: _____

Other: _____

Other: _____

SUBMITTED BY

Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	41,595	Telephone	510-337-7871
Name (Print/Type)	Gary Baker			Date	March 26, 2009



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on March 26, 2009.

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: 
Sarah Jeromin

Appl. No. : 10/826,153 Confirmation No. 8584

Applicant : Erik Scher, et al.

Filed : April 16, 2004

TC/A.U. : 1631

Examiner : Russell Scott Negin

Docket No. : 40-002001US

Customer No. : 22798

Client Ref No.: 01-002001

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, 37 CFR
§ 1.97 and § 1.98
AND MCKESSON STATEMENT OF RELATEDNESS**

Sir:

The references cited on attached form PTO-1449 are being called to the attention of the Examiner. Copies of the references are enclosed. It is respectfully requested that the cited information be expressly considered during the prosecution of this application, and the references be made of record therein and appear among the "references cited" on any patent to issue therefrom.

As provided for by 37 CFR 1.97(g) and (h), no inference should be made that the information and references cited are prior art merely because they are in this statement and no representation is being made that a search has been conducted or that this statement encompasses all the possible relevant information.

This IDS is being filed after the mailing date of the first Office Action and more than three months after the filing date, but prior to the Notice of Allowance or Final Office Action. Please deduct \$180.00, pursuant to 37 CFR §1.17(p), from the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-0893. Please deduct any additional fees from, or credit any overpayment to, the above-noted Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,



Gary Baker
Reg. No. 41,595

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.
P.O. BOX 458
Alameda, CA 94501
(510) 337-7871
Fax (510) 337-7877

GB/sjj

In view of McKesson Information Solutions v. Bridge Medical (Fed. Cir. 2007), and MPEP 2001.06(b)), Applicants specifically inform the Examiner that this case may be related to the following U.S. Patent Application(s):

USSN 11/075,364, filed March 8, 2005

The Examiner is specifically encouraged to review the file history, including art made of record, as well as any substantive action in the above application(s) including any Restriction Requirements, Office Actions, Responses, Appeals, Appeal Briefs, Examiner's Replies, Notice(s) of Allowance or Issuance in the above-mentioned related application(s), prior to taking any action in the subject application. Applicants further note that the Examiner is aware that prosecution may be ongoing in any related case, and that the Examiner will continue to evaluate the related cases as needed.

Per McKesson, the Examiner is specifically advised that all such related applications MUST be evaluated for double patenting and for obviousness-type double patenting issues prior to allowance of any claim in the subject application.

Applicants understand that, due to modern and easy access by the Examiner to related cases on PAIR, or other electronic databases available to the Examiner, there is no need for Applicant to submit copies of any paper in the file wrapper for any related case.